

PTACHC SHORT POSITION LABEL GUIDE

P1 – No school should operate over 100% capacity

P2 - No intentional overcrowding (exemptions)

P3 – Mandate development pay accurate fair market rate for impacts.

P4 -No intentional concentrations of poverty

P5 – Solutions should not come from lowering standards or changing of units of measure

P6 – Growth should be predictable for capital planning.

P7 – Should not create intentional funding competition

P8 – Update all education funding and protections for new Exemptions & Standards Adjustments

P9 - Eliminate reliance on relocatable classrooms

P10 – May affect school safety / risk exposure.

Amendment Alignment with PTACHC positions (Oct 10, 2023 update)

*If a complex implementation contains neutral and one other color category, the corresponding "green" or "red" identification will be preferred for voting and implementation.

Green -Improvement or partial improvement

A1,A5,A9,A9-1,A10,A14,A16,A17,A18,A19,A24-1,A38,A37,A38,A39,A42-1,A47-1,A50,A51,A53,A63,A64,A65,A67,A68,A69,A70,A71,A72,A73,A75,A76,A77,A79,A80,A81,A83,A84,A85,A86,A91,A92,A93,A94,A96,A97,A99,A100,A101,A102,A104,A105,A106,A108,A109,A100,A100-2,A116,A112,A113,A115-1,A116-1,A117,A118,A119,A120,A122,A125,A128,A131

Blue – Neutral or N/A to PTACHC

A6,A7,A7-1,A8,A11,A12,A13,A15,A17,A21,A22,A25,A25-1,A26,A-26-1,A27,A28,A29,A31,A32,A33,A33-1,A35,A41,A41-1,A42,A43,A45,A54,A58,A59,A60,A61,A62,A74,A74-1,A78,A79,A79-1,A80-1,A82,A87, A87-1,A88,A89,A90,A92-1,A94,A95,A95-1, A98,A100-1,A102,A102-1,A103,A103-1,A107,A111,A113,A114,A115,A121,A124,A130

Red does not comport

A2,A3,A4,A10-1,A14,A16-1,A20,A23,A24,A30,A34,A40,A44,A46,A47,A48,A49,A52,A53,A55,A56,A57, A103-1,A126,A127,A132

Guide

Red-Does not align or improve alignment with PTACHC positions

Blue- Neutral = minor effect to education, possible major effects to other interests.

Green - Generally improves implementation... may not completely cover concerns.

Black - unaddressed issues with no amendment

Initials (Council person submitted)

(A number) Amendment number... of course!

10-1 DN-3 3. = Implementation guide, chapter abbrev, number, sub numbers

P# PTACHC positions that relate to item.

Unquoted comment - PTACHC comment or clarification

"Quotes" short description of amendment modification.

LW(A116) Intro “remove most maps from document with old data”

DJ (A91) Chap 1 P5 “Change intro to ref limit of actual participation.”

CE(A10) P6 P8 “Update all maps and charts after passage”

CE(A21) Intro “remove departed staff ...add new replacements.”

CE(A22) Intro “boost engagement numbers”

CE(A23) Intro P6 “denote old RCLCO financial data Oct1,2020”

CE(A24) Intro P6 “Swap out projections”

DJ(A24-1) P6 “remove inaccurate projections”

CE(A25) Intro Swap “General Plan Map”

DJ(A25-1) “Swap General Plan Map without activity center”

CS(A26) Intro P3 “Swap FLUM MAP”

DY(A7) “Remove ref to state non-ag alpp use”

LW (A94) 10-1 GCF “Strike exaggeration of open space”

DY (A90) 10-1 GCF P6 “strike low income housing for psa expansion”

DJ (A92) 10-1 GCF “Adjust a significant amount of planning assumptions”

DJ (A92-1) 10-1 GCF “remove language to tie ecological health to subdivision regs”

LW(A1) 10-1 GCF “Change Mixed use activity to campus”

DY(A19) 10-1 GCF P3/P6 “remove expand rural PSA for LIHC”

LW(A67) 10-1 GCF P6 – “Map replacement that focuses on activity center”

DJ(A96) 10-1 GCF – P3 “Acknowledge growth does not pay for itself”

10-1 GCF-1 1. P6 – note significant pattern changes possible.

LW(A111) 10-1 EH “mitigate point source of runoff”

LW (94) 10-1 EH “Strike exaggeration of open space”

DJ/LW (A79) 10-1 EH “remove incentivization of resource protection”

CE(A29) 10-1 EH “reference climate action plan”

CE(A27) 10-1 EH-1 1. “add the term regulations”

DJ/LW (A79)10-1 EH-1 2. “track environmental enforcement”

LW(A113) 10-1 EH-1 2. “Track Outcomes”

DJ/LW (A79) 10-1 EH-1 5 “open space for activity centers”

LW(A113) 10-1 EH-1 5. “open space in high activity”

DJ/LW (A79) 10-1 EH-2 1. P6 / P7 / P8 – May affect school construction “align county departments with env goals”

DJ (A103) 10-1 EH-2 1. “Accelerate climate plan”

LW(A103-1) 10-1 EH-2 1. “switch from ensure to accelerate climate action plan”

CR(A2) 10-1 EH-2 2. P6 / P7 / P8 – May affect school construction costs

LW(A112) 10-1 EH-2 2. “Eliminate waivers and alt compliance”

10-1 EH-2 3. P6 / P7/ P8 – May affect school construction

DJ/LW (A79) 10-1 EH-2 4. P6 / P7 / P8 – May affect school construction “Adopt most recent Green Building Code”

LW(A103) 10-1 EH2 6. “require solar on new construction”

LW(A103-1) 10-1 EH2 6. “Accelerate onsite renewable power”

LW(A103) 10-1 EH-2 7. P8 “No permits to expand fossil fuel on county property”

LW(A103-1) 10-1 EH-2 7. P8 “Refuse permitting of fossil fuel on county land” – Potential school construction impacts without offsetting

10-1 EH-3 2. P3/P6

CR(A3) 10-1 EH-3 3. “explore reuse of quarry”

CR(A2) 10-1 EH-3 3. “development patterns for climate”

CE(A28) 10-1 EH-3 3. “alternate ?? site development standards”

LW (A65/A68/A113) 10-1 EH-4 1. P3/P6 “Remove density exchange districts”

DJ/LW (A79) 10-1 EH-4 1. P3,P6 “strike east-west density exchange”

LW (A65/A68/A113) 10-1 EH-4 2. P7 “Remove incentivized green development”

DJ/LW (A79) 10-1 EH-4 2. “strike incentives to follow green rules”

LW(A65/A68/A113) 10-1 EH-4 3. “Remove Green program workarounds”

CE (A30) 10-1 EH-4 3. P2/P3/P4/P6/P8 “more development”

DJ/LW (A79) 10-1 EH-4 3. P4 P6 “strike conversion of green credits for mihi”

LW(A103/A113) 10-1 EH-5 1. P10 “Accelerate flood assessment and projects”

DJ/LW (A70/A113) 10-1 EH-5 2. “Encourage commercial to have current stormwater standards”

DJ/LW (A79/A113) 10-1 EH-5 3. P10 “incorporate water quantity management.”

DJ/LW (A79) 10-1 EH-5 4. P10 “increase SWM.”

LW(A103/A113) 10-1 EH-5 4. “Accelerate green SWM”

DJ/LW (A79/A113) 10-1 EH-5 6. P10 “redevelopment should meet new SWM standards”

LW(A113) 10-1 EH-7 1. “report on tree loss”

LW(A113) 10-1 EH-7 3. “define forest interior habitat”

DJ/LW (A79) 10-1 EH-7 5. “Add invasive species removal.”

LW(A109) 10-1 EH-7 7. P10 “develop safety in deer mgt”

LW(A68) 10-1 EH-8 2. “remove overlay zoning”

LW(A110/A113) 10-1 EH-9 4. “more zoning for ag”

LE(A130) 10-1 EH 9 4. “AG Preservation In PSA”

DY(A8) EH-10 1. Use ALPP for traditional farming aid

LW(A113) 10-1 EH-10 1. “acquire additional environmental land”

LW(A103/ A113) 10-1 EH-11 1. P6 / P7 / P8 – May affect school construction “county SHALL be environmentally sensitive”

LW (A105/A113) 10-1 EH-13 1. P10 “Strike conditional mining”

CE(31) EH Exhibit A “Update map”

CE(32) EH Map3-4/Map3-5 “amend map”

CR(A9-1) CIM Table – “Amend Oakland mills for shared path”

DJ/LW (A102) 10-1 CIM-1 .5 P10 “upgrade county fleets”

CR (A9) 10-1 CIM-2 Table 4-1 2. P10 “pathway over lanes”

LW/DJ (A102) 10-1 CIM-2 2. P8 P10 – funding will need adjustment “favor safer development”

CR (A9) 10-1 CIM-2 5. – Add School Speed Cameras

DJ/LW (A102) 10-1 CIM-3 2. P10 Conditional delivery over prioritization “Strike Balance needs”

DJ/LW(A102) 10-1 CIM-3 3. “Provide Sr Support”

DJ LW (A102) 10-1 CIM-3 4. “subsidize rideshare”

CE (A33) 10-1 CIM 4 4. “add pen line to rail improvements”

CE (A33) 10-1 CIM 4 6. “work with neighbors on transit”

10-1 CIM-5 1. P3/P10 – mitigation over incentivization

LW/DJ (A102) 10-1 CIM-5 3. “strike mandatory TDM”

LW DJ (A102) 10-1 CIM-5 4. P5 – Reduction in bus service clarification – HOT “reduce hazard bus only”

LW/DJ (A102) 10-1 CIM-5 7. “Safe pathways for park once”

CE (A33) 10-1 CIM-5 7. “Require mobility in development”

CE (A33) 10-1 CIM-5 8. “measure bike and pedestrian activity”

DJ/LW (A102) 10-1 CIM-5 8. “Fund electric school buses”

CE (A33) 10-1 CIM-8 3. “Support installation EV charging stations”

DJ (A102-1) 10-1 CIM-8 3. “encourage charging stations”

LW/DJ (A102) 10-1 CIM-8 3. “Require charging stations”

DJ (A108) 10-1 CIM 10 “BWI Noise Plan”

CE (A34) 10-1 CIM-10 1. “stay in the roundtable”

CE (A34) 10-1 CIM-10 2. “shift FAA nextgen”

CE (A34) 10-1 CIM-10 3. “monitors for particulates”

CE (A34) 10-1 CIM-10 4. P5 outdoor noise “innovate buildings for noisy environments”

CE (A34) 10-1 CIM-10 5. “policies to minimize noise impacts”

LW/DJ (A102) 10-1 CIM-10 6. P10 “subdivision needs to follow complete streets”

LW(1) Change Elkridge activity to Industrial Zoning

LW(A18) 10-1 EP-1 1. “Route 40 business district”

LW(A68) 10-1 EP-1 1. “remove industrial overlay zoning”

LW(A18) 10-1 EP-1 2. “Update Route 40 design manual”

LW(A18) 10 -1 EP-1 3. “Korean Way identification”

LW(A18) 10-1 EP-1 4. “Rt 40 Multimodal to residents”

10-1 EP-1.1 P3/P4

LW(A64) 10-1 EP-1 2. “Remove placemaking in industrial language”

LW(A64) 10-1 EP-2 1. P2 P6 – Within confines of a comprehensive APFO. “remove mixed use from industrial zoning”

DJ (A74) 10-1 EP-4 .1 P6 “Remove req for percentage of home types”

10-1 EP-4 4. P6 – Vague language

10-1 EP4 5. P1/P2/P3/P4/P5/P6/P7

DJ (A74) 10-1 EP-4 (was .5 now .6) “create opportunities for workforce housing”

DY (A12) 10-1 EP-5 “minor agriculture clarification”

DJ (A74) 10-1 EP-5 .2 “arts in E.C.”

DJ (A74) 10-1 EP-5 .3 “Strike Arts district”

DJ (A74) 10-1 EP-5 .5 “strike long reach village center project”

CR (A11) 10-1 EP-6 .7 “sustainable communities in Savage”

DY(A124) 10-1 EP-6 7. “Ag master plan”

10-1 EP6 1. P6

DJ (A74) 10-1 EP-6 3. “Drop autonomous cars ref”

10-1 EP-8 4. P6 – EDA development authority lack of obligations to education

DJ (A74) 10-1 EP-8 5. “strike focus on village centers for food halls”

DY (A89) 10-1 EP-10 5. “Allow ag land pres \$ to xfr to individuals”

DJ (A74) 10-1 EP-10 6. “Strike ref to Junior Farmers”

DY (A88) 10-1 EP-12 3. “Allow farm sleepovers”

LW(A105) 10-1 EP-14 1. P10 “Conditional mining”

DY (A87) 10-1 EP-14 1. “Central ag authority”

DY (A87-1) 10-1 EP-14 “UNKOWN ATTACHMENT”

DY (A87) 10-1 EP-14 2. “Ag master plan”

DY (A87) 10-1 EP-14 3. “Farm learning center”

CE (A35) 10-1 Table 5-2 “update school employment data”

DY (A5) Remove crossroads from Chap 6 DN

DJ (A77) P4 “require 5% MIHU in apartment construction”

LW(A94) “Strike exaggeration of Open Space”

LW(A100) 10-1 DN P4 “ref to concentrations of poverty”

LW(A100) 10-1 DN p6 “”strike use missing middle to address supply”

LW(A100) 10-1 P4 “Home ownership should occur where there are apartments concentrated.”

LW(A119) 10-1 P4 “Fee in Lieu to a minimum”

LW(A100) 10-1 DN “Strike ADU example”

LW(A100) 10-1 DN “strike declaration that development is net positive \$”

LW(A100) 10-1 DN P1 “consider overcrowded schools”

LW(A100) 10-1 DN P10 strike statement that small home swm is negligible:”

LW(A100) 10-1 DN “Consider parking impact for ADU/Missing middle”

LW(A100) 10-1 DN “remove homeless ness language”

DJ (A115) 10-1 DN –“Fee in lieu bad,, Missing middle better”

DJ (A100-1) 10-1 DN “consider Columbia homebuying opportunities”

DJ (A115-1) 10-1 DN P4 “clarify missing middle to avoid concentrations of poverty”

10-1 DN-1 1. P2/P3/P4/P6

LW (A93) 10-1 DN-1 2. **P1/Pw/P3/P4/P6/P8 – APFO exemptions inferred** “Remove detached ADU’s”

LW(A100) 10-1 DN-1 .2 “remove detached adu helper language”

LW(A100) 10-1 DN-1 3. P1/Pw/P3/P4/P6/P8 – APFO exemptions inferred “Change mandatory language”

LW(A100) 10-1 DN-1 4. P1/P2/P3/P4/P6/P8 – APFO exemptions inferred “Remove mandatory language”

10-1 DN1.6 P7

CE (A39) **10-1 DN-2 1. P2/P3/P4** “Consider lot coverage, bulk regs, stormwater and parking for adu”

LW (A100) 10-1 DN-2 1. “remove mandatory language, add consideration of older homes”

DJ (A100-2) 10-1 DN-2 1. P1/P2/P6 “explore ADU rather than mandate”

LW(A100) 10-1 DN-2 2. P3/P6 “remove mandatory ADU regs”

DJ (A100-2) 10-1 DN-2 2. P1/P2/P6 “explore ADU rather than mandate”

LW(A100) 10-1 DN-2 6. “Require LIHC when developing centers”

10-1 DN-2 3. P2/P8 Applicability infers investigate exemptions

DJ (A73) P1 through 10 “remove req that APFO task force must do exactly as general plan directs”

LW(A125) 10-1 DN-3 3. “Require MIHU beyond program”

LW(A125) 10-1 DN-3 3. “Require disability needs in MIHU”

LW(A118) 10-1 DN-3 3 “one-for one replacement of demolished mihu”

DY(A15) 10-1 DN-3 1. P6 “build on existing programs”

DY(A15) 10-1 DN-3 2. “develop ownership rather than rentals”

DY(A15) 10-1 DN-3 3. “Public awareness of options”

CR(A14) 10-1 DN-3 3. P3/P4/P7 Impact abatement needed – “Incentivize production”

CE(A40) 10-1 DN3 5. P3/P4/P7 Impact abatement needed – “use county funds for private housing development”

LW(A93) 10-1 DN-4 1. P6 “Strike detached ADU”

10-1 DN-4 3. P4/P6/P7

LW(A100) 10-1 DN-4 .5 “insure parking at ADUs”

LW (A100) 10-1 DN-5 “Add incentivization for affordability in new units” – no offsite

LW(A125) P4 10-1 DN-5 “25% affordable apartments”

10-1 DN-5 2. P1 Education impacts should be a factor

10-1 DN-5 3. P3/P7

10-1 DN-5 4. P1/P2/P3/P7 Intentional overcrowding not supported.

DJ (A75) 10-1 DN-5 .4 C P4 “strike incentivized housing within NT zoning”

CR (A56) 10-1 DN-5 4. E “No overcrowding mitigation P1 “eliminate green allocations-raise MIHU allocations, incentivize at 50% affordability level”

DJ (A75) 10-1 DN-5 4. E P1-10 “Strike exempting affordable housing from APFO”

LW(A70) 10-1 DN-5 4. P4 “25% affordability requirement”

LW(A100) 10-1 DN-5 .5 “Incentive home ownership in high rental concentrations”

DY(A6) 10-1 DN-5 5. Require Seniors in housing allocations

10-1 - 2. P7 land acquisition competition

10-1 DN-5 3. P7/P8

CE(A42) 10-1 DN-5 4. P4/P7/P8 “separate affordable committee with APFO”

DJ(A42-1) 10-1 DN-5 .4 P1 “affordable housing committee concurrent with APFO”

CE(A41) 10-1 DN-6 6. “expand lwywp”

CR(A14) 10-1 DN6 6. “No net loss of affordable @60%”

DJ(A114) 10-1 DN-6 6. “allow MIH to achieve market appreciation”

LW (A100) 10-1 DN-7 1. P6/P8 – Requires APFO “Strike replace industrial for residential”

LW (A70/A118) 10-1 DN-7 4. P4 “one for one affordable replacement”

LW(A100) 10-1 DN -8 1. “Strike mandatory ADU”

LW(A100) 10-1 DN-8 2. P6 “Strike Mandatory missing middle”

DY (A20) 10-1 DN8 3. P6 – Shared septic with schools needs factoring

“add rural character to description”

10-1 DN-8 4. P6

10-1 DN-9 1. P6 – Shared septic with schools needs factoring

LW(A100) 10-1 DN-11 P4 “add ref to home ownership in Columbia”

10-1 DN-11 1. P1 through 10 – Repetitive

LW(A120) 10-1 DN-11 P4 “implement a series of mihu requirements”

LW(A70) 10-1 DN-11 1. “continue rental assistance”

LW(A70) 10-1 DN-11 2. P4 “work with housing commission on lihc inventory”

CE(A43) 10-1 DN-11 2. “rename group home to home sharing”

LW(A70) 10-1 DN-11 3. P4 “Expand right of refusal for lihc”

LW(A70) 10-1 DN-11 4. P4 “rent stabilization”

LW(A70) 10-1 DN-11 5. “track rentals”

LW(A70) 10-1 DN-11 6. P4 “enforce inclusionary zoning”

CR(A56) 10-1 DN-11 7. P4 “universal guidelines”

LW(A70) 10-1 DN-11 7. “Preserve affordable housing”

CR(A130) 10-1 DN-11 7. “Age friendly minmums”

LW(A70) 10-1 DN-11 8. “support landlord-tenant law”

CR(A130) 10-1 DN-11 8. “10% universal design for mihu”

CE(A45) 10-1 DN13 3. P4 “buy townhomes and condos for mihu”

10-1 DN-13 4. P1 through P6 – education impacts need to be factored

CE(A44) 10-1 DN-13 .6 P4 “Preserve mobile home parks”

DJ(A78) 10-1 DN-14 3. “expand tenant enforcement”

CR(A14) 10-1 DN-15 “Threatened by homelessness”

10-1 DN-15 4. P4

CE (A46) 10-1 DN-15 5. “bend DPZ regs for homeless”

CE (A36) 10-1 DN “assign RCLCO as provider of HOMP data”

CE (A37) 10-1 DN Graph 6-3 “fix DPZ error”

CE(A38) 10-1 DN “Caveat NT zoning in maps”

LW(A94) “Strike exaggeration of open space”

DJ (A47-1) P6 “positive commercial/residential mix”

LW(A17) QBD P6 – “Switch historic areas to be developed, to be preserved”

DJ (A76) QBD “Strike overplanting language”

DJ (A76) QBD “Change language of NT zoning”

DJ (A81) QBD “strengthen architectural req”

DJ (A76) 10-1 QBD-1 2. P6 “strike import of 2018 development regs”

**LW (A69)10-1 QBD-1 2. P6 – NOT APPROPRIATE TO EMBED WITHOUT DEDICATED PUBLIC REVIEW
“Change to update regs... rather than insert”**

LW(A17) 10-1 QBD-1 3. “Expand historic zones”

LW(A68) 10-1 QBD-1 3. P6 “remove concept of replacing historic districts”

DJ (A75) 10-1 QBD-1 4. “create a NT taskforce”

DJ (A76) 10-1 QBD-1 5. P1 through 10 - Significant impacts to schools unaddressed “strike complete rewrite of NT zoning”

DJ (A76) 10-1 QBD-2 1. P3”strike language requiring zoning to match FLUM”

DJ (A76) 10-1 QBD-2 6. P6 “Incentivize affordable purchasing in retrofits”

CE(A48) 10-1 QBD-2 7. P3 P6 “Rezone properties adjacent to increased development”

DJ (A76) 10-1 QBD-3 1. “focus on commercial building orientation”

DJ (A76) 10-1 QBD-4 3. P6 “strike encourage small infill”

DJ (A76) 10-1 QBD-4 .6 P10 “Ensure adequate parking in infill”

10-1 QBD-6 6. P6 creates unplanned needs in once most stable communities

10-1 QBD-6 7. P6 – potential planning impacts.

CE(A47) 10-1 QBD 7 – “denote removal of commercial in RT1 MU” Com/residential mix P6

CE(A55) PS P6 “Rewrite significant amount of info on intro page” “reference feasibility projections that cannot reference gen plan increases” “declares dunloggin and oakland mills renovations”

DJ (A83) 10-1 PS P1-P6 “Remove DPZ false narrative on resales”

DJ (A84) 10-1 PS P1-P6 “Remove DPZ undercalculation of enrollment impact”

DJ (A85) 10-1 PS P1-P6 “HCPSS should maximize funding by matching”

LW (A71) 10-1 PS P1 “Report current overcrowding levels”

DJ (A72) 10-1 PS P1 “correct apfo/enrollment +Gateway prioritization”

DJ(A101) 10-1 PS P6 “Change tfr tax solves everything to needs to be reallocated”

LW(A99) 10-1 PS P1-10 PS .1-.6 (renumbered) “Third party audit of enrollment projections, prove new construction assumptions, realign APFO planning areas, reassess waiting periods, require planning for infill, must have schools for FLUM plan”

DJ (A72) 10-1 PS1-1 1. P3/P5 “alternate” insufficient mitigation not supported. “Strike alternate mitigation”

CR(A4) 10-1 PS1-1 2. P6 – Completely removes HCPSS planning authority – not supported. “Especially gateway”

10-1 PS2-1. P5/P6

10-1 PS2-1 P6 – suitability needs to be a requirement

DJ (A72) 10-1 PS-3 1. P5 “Strike commercial reuse for classrooms”

10-1 PS-3 2. P5

10-1 PS-3 4. P5

10-1 PS-3 5. P5

10-1 PS-3 6. P5

10-1 PS-3 7. P3/P5

10-1 PS3 8. P3/P5/P6 needs to be mandatory.

DJ(A104) 10-1 PS-3 9. P1-6 “ZRA’s must consider school capacity”

10-1 PS-4 2. P5 - Vague language implying lower standards not desired.

10-1 PS-5 3. P5

DJ(A95-1) INF “Remove testimonials”

DJ (A86) 10-1 INF-1 .3 P3 “Adjust xfr tax for school construction”

10-1 INF-2 1. P3/P6 – need comprehensive APFO

DJ(A95) 10-1 INF-2 4. “equity score community center const”

DJ(A95) 10-1 INF-3 1. P6 - Response Times to Schools needs publishing “switch may to explore police cap project”

DJ(A95) 10-1 INF-3 2. P6 “factor in type and response times”

DJ(A95) 10-1 INF-3 3. P6. P10 - “Continue rather than consider path patrols”

DJ(A95) 10-1 INF-3 4. P6. P10 - “Remove smart city monitoring ref”

10-1 INF-4 1. P6 - Response times to schools needs publishing

DJ(A95) 10-1 INF-4 3. “Use electric fire/ems”

DJ(A95) 10-1 INF-4 5. “make more Meriwether style fire stations”

10-1 INF-5 1. P7 evaluate in terms of mixed school /park sites

DJ(A95) 10-1 INF-5 2. “Consider community centers in parks plan”

DJ(A107) 10-1 INF-5 4. “Require open space in redevelopment”

LW(A97) 10-1 INF-5 6. P10 “Add public safety and hospital to APFO”

DY(A98) 10-1 INF 5 5. P8 “explore a pub-private athletic facility”

DY(A98) 10-1 INF-5 6. “rezone large parcels for athletic facilities

10-1 INF-6 1. P10 Safety

10-1 INF-6 3. – P3 missing mitigation in cases of leased properties

10-1 INF-6 4. – P6/P7 disposition of school sites needs addressing

10-1 INF-7 3. P7

CE (A49) 10-1 INF-8 Exhibit A P3 “Remove ref - need for infrastructure”

CR(A117) 10-1 INF-8 4. “Support nonprofits” – odd placement – technically includes PTACHC

CE (A50) 10-1 INF-8 4. P10 “Partner with hospital for adequate capacity”

DJ (A128) 10-1 P10 INF-9 1. “deal with hospital wait times”

DJ (A128) 10-1 P10 INF-9 2. “behavioral health funding”

10-1 INF-9 2. P6 – significant school planning changes as a result

10-1 INF-9 3. P6 – significant school planning changes as a result

10-1 INF-10 2. P6 – significant school planning changes as a result

CR(A16) 10-1 INF 13 1. P7 “Subsidy for all county resident workers”

CR(A16-1) 10-1 INF-13 1. “feasibility study on rent subsidy”

CR(A16) 10-1 INF 13 2. P3 “Create more workforce housing”

CR(A16-1) 10-1 INF-13 2. P3 “explore workforce housing”

CR(A16) 10-1 INF 13 3.”transit for county workers”

CR(A16-1) 10-1 INF-13.3 “explore transit for county workers”

CR(A16) 10-1 INF 13 4. P7 “Healthcare for workers”

CR(A16-1) 10-1 INF 13.4 “Strike healthcare for county workers”

CR(A16) 10-1 INF 13 5. “Job Training”

CR(A16-1) 10-1 INF-13 6. “strike job training”

LW(A1) – 10-1 MG “Return activity center allocations in elkridge”

CE (A51) – 10-1 MG “DPZ fix inaccurate housing allocations in chart”

LW(A65) – 10-1 MG P6 “remove Green overlay housing unit allocations”

DJ(A82) – 10-1 MG “reduce activity allocations, increase green & affordable”

CR(A127) – 10-1 MG P4 “add 300 units to apfo chart” no offsetting or COP controls

CR (A57) – 10-1 MG P1-10 “Eliminate APFO replace with Exceptional ECO”

CR (A132) – 10-1 MG P1-9 “Declare development will pay for HCPSS and transportation” A repeating premise not demonstrated in any previous funding cycle.

LW(A122) – 10-1 MG P1-9 “If affordable percentages are not met via HUA, adjust market rate HUA the following year”

10-1 MG1 1. P1-through 10 – Directs agenda of increased intensity with less school protections.

LW (A80) 10-1 MG-1 1. A P1 “Pace rather than support growth”

LW (A80) 10-1 MG-1 1. c P1 “remove automatic exemptions”

CR (A128) 10-1 MG-1 1. C P1 “Incentivize developments”

LW (A80) 10-1 MG-1 1. C3 P1 “Strike removal of APFO wait tests”

LW (A80) 10-1 MG-1 1. E2 P1 “Strike alternate fee mitigation”

DJ(A106) 10-1 MG-1 1. E i. P6 “Shift projections toward real data”

CR(A126) 10-1 MG-1 1. e P3, P6 “strike pay to play, use neighboring county student projections”

DJ(A106) 10- 1 MG-1 1. F.i “Strike APFO study for transportation”

DJ(A80-1) 10-1 MG-1 1.F “working group for affordable housing in APFO”

CE (A52) 10-1 MG-1 1. 1. P1 Impact to overcrowding not a criteria “working group for incentivized housing”

10-1 MG1 .2 P1 through 10 – Subjugates decisions to authors of general plan

CR(A126) 10-1 MG-1 2. “APFO within 6 months”

CE (A53) Chap 11 Implementation P6 – “non referenced plans may be added”

DJ (A53-1) Chap 11 implementation P2, P6 – “declare 2018 Dev Reg Assessments as not functional.

DJ (A63) Chapter 11 P6 “Require annual/4year reporting”

LW(A1) RTE 1 29,30,31 – Significant changes to Route 1 regarding elkridge activity center removal

CE(A62) RTE 1 “significant recharacterization of Elkridge to a Civic zone with non-committal language”

CE(A54) RTE 1 “Substitute missing chart”

DJ(A58) Appendix B – “Adjust massing and lot sizes”

DY(A13) Appendix B – “Remove encouragement of solar in rural districts”

DJ(A60) Appendix B – “Remove grading-clearing may be necessary”

LW(A64) Appendix B – P6 “remove industrial mixed use language”

DJ(A59) Appendix C – “Strike NT/Columbia examples”

DJ(A61) Appendix C – “Strike non-committal grocery store language”